**The best way to solve world’s traffic and environmental problems is increase the cost of fuel.**

**Do you agree or disagree and give your own opinion?**

There is fairly posibility that traffic matters and environmental issues be one of major challenges in world today . Some people suggest that the best way is to rise the price of fuel . This essay will discuss this solution and provide some evidences to support .

Fossil fuel has a huge influence on quality of environment . Conbustion engines use them as the main energy, therefore emited a high level of toxic fumes including carbon dioxide if burned . Furthermore , when inhaled directly , they increase the chance of exposing to respiratory illness like asthma . In addition to this , overexploitation of fossil fuel poses a threat on natural habit .Over the past decades , to meet the need of world wide energy , many forests has been burn down , animals has been killed violently . According to recent research revealed by sciencetists , every year thousands of hecters of forests have been destroyed to build industrial factories. By increasing the price of fuel , it will definitely lessen the rise of fuel industries .Another reason is that transportation constitues a threat to environmental issues . Rising the cost of fuel will encourage the use of public transportation. Furthermore , car acidents probably will drop remarkerably . In short , Increasing the price of fuel make a hug contribution on community .

I

It is believed that traffic and pollution issues would be best tackled by higher prices of gas. In my opinion, this idea is completely flawed and there are several reasonable alternatives.

Clearly the price of fuel has little to do with pressing problems of traffic and pollution. The inevitable demand **for time-saving and safe travelling** in the modern world makes the change in price of gas become rather insignificant, which proves **the ineffectiveness of the given policy**. In other words, even if the price were increased, people would still travel on a daily basis and **the problems of traffic and pollution would stay unsolved**.  Additionally, this solution could easily trigger social disagreement and resentment. This, coupled with the reluctance of using expensive fuel to travel every day, could be counter-productive and this proposed idea would become irrelevant.

On the other hand, alternatives to increased price of petrol show greater effectiveness.  First, the government could implement certain regulations restricting the use of private vehicles such as cars and turn people to using public transportation. This would **relieve many roads from heavy traffic** by reducing the number of vehicles, which in return **alleviates the problem of pollution caused by exhaust**. Second, cycling which proves environmentally friendly should be more encouraged in major cities. At the same time, separate zones for cyclists should be created to avoid the overload of traffic in the rush hour when the number of vehicles might exceed the road’s capacity.

In conclusion, I believe that heightening the price of fuel used for travelling to address traffic and pollution problems is somewhat absurd; and that some other methods should be adopted instead.

**The best way to solve world’s traffic and environmental problems is increase the cost of fuel.**

**Do you agree or disagree and give your own opinion?**

There is fairly posibility that world traffic and environmental issues are one of major challenge tackled by many nations .Thus ,some people suggest that the best solution is to increase the price of fuel .This essay will discuss why it is superior and provide some evidences to support .

Clearly this is likely to impact the use of transportation . It encourage more subtainble and environmentally-friendly vehicles including buses or trains which have little influence to quality of surrounding . Furthermore fuel contains a highly proportion of pollutants ; these emits a great deal of toxic exhauts when burned , causing some respiratory illness such as asthma . In addition to this ,the price is projected to preserve forests from deforestation .As land is developed for many engery factories , many forests has been felled . For instance , as of 2005 , Viet Nam has lost more than 12,000 hectors, the equivalent of about 32% of forests , and the figures is expected to grow in next few decades . Most of them are to build energy factories such Thuy Loi coporation. Deforestation also posing a huge threat to wild life animals , kills the ecosystems . Lastly , The cost probably will lessen our reliance on fossil fuel .Unrenewable energy consitute to drop dramatically , for the green engery will thrive . On recent research revealed by scientists that partically all 20% world wide energy made from green energy , this number is rising at certain rate , and is projected to replace fossil fuel in next decades .In short , the cost

**The best way to solve world’s traffic and environmental problems is increase the cost of fuel.**

**Do you agree or disagree and give your own opinion?**

There is a fairly posibility that traffic and environmental issues are ones of major challenge around the world today. Some people believe that the best solution is to increase the price of fuel . This essay will support this suggestion and provide some evidences to support .

Clearly rising the cost of fuel relieve the number of cars on the road, espicially in the rush hour. As it encourage the use of public transportations such as buses or trains which have little impacts on environment , lead to improvement of air quality . Furthermore , fuel releasing a highly poprotion of pollutants including carbon dioxide if burned , causes global warming . In addition to this , the growth of fuel will also prevent natural habbit from deforestation .As many factories and industries built to meet the inevitable demand of world wide energy , many forests have been destroyed , posing a huge threat on wild life animals. “For instance ,Viet Nam has lost about 50 percents of its original forests in the last 50 years as land is developed for factories. Only 10 percent of the countries forest are still untouched by logging and clearling “ . For instance Malaysia and Indonasia , forest cut down to make way for producing palm oil , which can be found in every thing from shampoo to saltines .

In conclusion , while there are some disadvantages to increase the cost of fuel such as hurting some industries , these must be weighed against the use of transportation and natural habbit that increase the cost of fuel offers . Personally , I think that these benefits outweigh the drawbacks .